



# Post-quantum key encapsulation: Kyber

Roberto Avanzi, Joppe Bos, Leo Ducas, Eike Kiltz, Tancrede Lepoint, Vadim Lyubashevsky, John M. Schanck, <u>Peter Schwabe</u>, Gregor Seiler, Damien Stehle, Jintai Ding

August 31, 2022









### Polynomial-Time Algorithms for Prime Factorization and Discrete Logarithms on a Quantum Computer<sup>\*</sup>

Peter W. Shor<sup>†</sup>

#### Abstract

A digital computer is generally believed to be an efficient universal computing device; that is, it is believed able to simulate any physical computing device with an increase in computation time by at most a polynomial factor. This may not be true when quantum mechanics is taken into consideration. This paper considers factoring integers and finding discrete logarithms, two problems which are generally thought to be hard on a classical computer and which have been used as the basis of several proposed cryptosystems. Efficient randomized algorithms are given for these two problems on a hypothetical quantum computer. These algorithms take a number of steps polynomial in the input size, e.g., the number of digits of the integer to be factored. "In the past, people have said, maybe it's 50 years away, it's a dream, maybe it'll happen sometime. I used to think it was 50. Now I'm thinking like it's 15 or a little more. It's within reach. It's within our lifetime. It's going to happen."

-Mark Ketchen (IBM), Feb. 2012, about quantum computers

#### Definition

Post-quantum crypto is (asymmetric) crypto that resists attacks using classical *and quantum* computers.

## Definition

Post-quantum crypto is (asymmetric) crypto that resists attacks using classical *and quantum* computers.

## 5 main directions

- Lattice-based crypto (PKE and Sigs)
- Code-based crypto (mainly PKE)
- Multivariate-based crypto (mainly Sigs)
- Hash-based signatures (only Sigs)
- Isogeny-based crypto (it's complicated...)

## Definition

Post-quantum crypto is (asymmetric) crypto that resists attacks using classical *and quantum* computers.

## 5 main directions

- Lattice-based crypto (PKE and Sigs)
- Code-based crypto (mainly PKE)
- Multivariate-based crypto (mainly Sigs)
- Hash-based signatures (only Sigs)
- Isogeny-based crypto (it's complicated...)

## The NIST PQC "not-a-competition"

- Inspired by two earlier NIST crypto competitions:
  - AES, running from 1997 to 2000
  - SHA3, running from 2007 to 2012

## The NIST PQC "not-a-competition"

- Inspired by two earlier NIST crypto competitions:
  - AES, running from 1997 to 2000
  - SHA3, running from 2007 to 2012
- Approach: NIST specifies criteria, everybody is welcome to submit proposals
- Selection through an open process and multiple rounds
- · Actual decisions are being made by NIST

# The NIST PQC "not-a-competition"

- Inspired by two earlier NIST crypto competitions:
  - AES, running from 1997 to 2000
  - SHA3, running from 2007 to 2012
- Approach: NIST specifies criteria, everybody is welcome to submit proposals
- Selection through an open process and multiple rounds
- · Actual decisions are being made by NIST
- PQC project:
  - Announcement: Feb 2016
  - · Call for proposals: Dec 2016 (based on community input)
  - Deadline for submissions: Nov 2017

## The NIST competition: initial overview

Count of Problem Catego	ory Column L	abels 💌		
Row Labels	Key Excha	ange	Signature	Grand Total
?		1		1
Braids		1	1	2
Chebychev		1		1
Codes		19	5	24
Finite Automata		1	1	2
Hash			4	4
Hypercomplex Numbers		1		1
Isogeny		1		1
Lattice		24	4	28
Mult. Var		6	7	13
Rand. walk		1		1
RSA		1	1	2
Grand Total		57	23	80
Q 4	1, 31	♡ 27		

Overview tweeted by Jacob Alperin-Sheriff on Dec 4, 2017.

## The NIST competition, Feb 2019

#### Encryption / Key agreement

- 9 lattice-based
- 7 code-based
- 1 isogeny-based

#### Signature schemes

- 3 lattice-based
- 2 symmetric-crypto based
- 4 MQ-based

### Finalists

- 4 key-agreement schemes
  - · 3 lattice-based
  - 1 code-based
- 3 signature schemes
  - · 2 lattice-based
  - 1 MQ-based

### Alternate schemes

- 5 key-agreement schemes
  - 2 lattice-based
  - 2 code-based
  - 1 isogeny-based
- 3 signature schemes
  - 2 symmetric-crypto based
  - 1 MQ-based

### 4 schemes selected for standardization

- CRYSTALS-Kyber: lattice-based key agreement
- CRYSTALS-Dilithium: lattice-based signature
- Falcon: lattice-based signature
- SPHINCS<sup>+</sup>: hash-based signature

- Classic McEliece: code-based key agreement
- BIKE: code-based key agreement
- HQC: code-based key agreement
- SIKE: isogeny-based key agreement

### 4 schemes selected for standardization

- CRYSTALS-Kyber: lattice-based key agreement
- CRYSTALS-Dilithium: lattice-based signature
- Falcon: lattice-based signature
- SPHINCS<sup>+</sup>: hash-based signature

- Classic McEliece: code-based key agreement
- BIKE: code-based key agreement
- HQC: code-based key agreement
- SIKE: isogeny-based key agreement

### 4 schemes selected for standardization

- CRYSTALS-Kyber: lattice-based key agreement
- CRYSTALS-Dilithium: lattice-based signature
- Falcon: lattice-based signature
- SPHINCS<sup>+</sup>: hash-based signature

- Classic McEliece: code-based key agreement
- BIKE: code-based key agreement
- HQC: code-based key agreement
- SIKE: isogeny-based key agreement

### 4 schemes selected for standardization

- CRYSTALS-Kyber: lattice-based key agreement
- CRYSTALS-Dilithium: lattice-based signature
- Falcon: lattice-based signature
- SPHINCS<sup>+</sup>: hash-based signature

- Classic McEliece: code-based key agreement
- BIKE: code-based key agreement
- HQC: code-based key agreement
- SIKE: isogeny-based key agreement

### 4 schemes selected for standardization

- CRYSTALS-Kyber: lattice-based key agreement
- CRYSTALS-Dilithium: lattice-based signature
- Falcon: lattice-based signature
- SPHINCS<sup>+</sup>: hash-based signature

- Classic McEliece: code-based key agreement
- BIKE: code-based key agreement
- HQC: code-based key agreement
- SIKE: isogeny-based key agreement
- Additionally: call for more signature proposals

## What now?

- Standards ready "by 2024"
- Time to start upgrading systems!

## What now?

- Standards ready "by 2024"
- Time to start upgrading systems!

#### Store now, decrypt later



- Urgency for key agreement (confidentiality)
- Need PQC now for long-term security

## What now?

- Standards ready "by 2024"
- Time to start upgrading systems!

### Store now, decrypt later



- Urgency for key agreement (confidentiality)
- Need PQC now for long-term security

Let's understand Kyber and what it means to use it.

A long time ago (2015) in a galaxy far, far away (Šibenik, Croatia)....

## What is a Key Encapsulation Mechanism (KEM)?



 $K \leftarrow \mathsf{KEM}.\mathsf{Dec}(\mathsf{ct},\mathsf{sk})$ 

- Given  $\mathbf{a}$  , uniformly random
- Given "noise distribution"  $\chi$
- Given samples  $\mathbf{as} + \mathbf{e}$ , with  $\mathbf{e} \leftarrow \chi$

- Given  $\mathbf{a}$ , uniformly random
- Given "noise distribution"  $\chi$
- Given samples  $\mathbf{as} + \mathbf{e}$ , with  $\mathbf{e} \leftarrow \chi$
- Search version: find  ${\bf s}$
- Decision version: distinguish from uniform random

Short answer In  $\mathcal{R}_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[X]/(X^n + 1)$ 

Polynomials with *n* coefficients, each coefficient in  $\{0, ..., q-1\}$ Arithmetic uses reduction modulo *q* and modulo  $(X^n + 1)$ 

Polynomials with *n* coefficients, each coefficient in  $\{0, ..., q-1\}$ Arithmetic uses reduction modulo *q* and modulo  $(X^n + 1)$ 

#### Example

Polynomials with *n* coefficients, each coefficient in  $\{0, ..., q-1\}$ Arithmetic uses reduction modulo *q* and modulo  $(X^n + 1)$ 

$$\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = 10X^3 + 9X^2 + 2X + 5$$
  
=  $3X^3 + 2X^2 + 2X + 5$ 

Polynomials with *n* coefficients, each coefficient in  $\{0, ..., q-1\}$ Arithmetic uses reduction modulo *q* and modulo  $(X^n + 1)$ 

$$\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} = -2X^3 + X^2 + 2X - 1$$
  
=  $5X^3 + X^2 + 2X + 6$ 

Polynomials with *n* coefficients, each coefficient in  $\{0, ..., q-1\}$ Arithmetic uses reduction modulo *q* and modulo  $(X^n + 1)$ 

#### Example

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 24X^6 + 16X^5 + 12X^3 + 30X^5 + 20X^4 + 15X^2 + 12X^4 + 8X^3 + 6X + 12X^3 + 8X^2 + 6$$

Polynomials with *n* coefficients, each coefficient in  $\{0, ..., q-1\}$ Arithmetic uses reduction modulo *q* and modulo  $(X^n + 1)$ 

#### Example

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 24X^{6} + 16X^{5} + 12X^{3} + 30X^{5} + 20X^{4} + 15X^{2} + 12X^{4} + 8X^{3} + 6X + 12X^{3} + 8X^{2} + 6$$
$$= 24X^{6} + 46X^{5} + 32X^{4} + 32X^{3} + 23X^{2} + 6$$

Polynomials with *n* coefficients, each coefficient in  $\{0, ..., q-1\}$ Arithmetic uses reduction modulo *q* and modulo  $(X^n + 1)$ 

#### Example

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 24X^{6} + 16X^{5} + 12X^{3} + 30X^{5} + 20X^{4} + 15X^{2} + 12X^{4} + 8X^{3} + 6X + 12X^{3} + 8X^{2} + 6$$
$$= 24X^{6} + 46X^{5} + 32X^{4} + 32X^{3} + 23X^{2} + 6$$
$$= 3X^{6} + 4X^{5} + 4X^{4} + 4X^{3} + 2X^{2} + 6$$
#### Longer answer

Polynomials with *n* coefficients, each coefficient in  $\{0, ..., q-1\}$ Arithmetic uses reduction modulo *q* and modulo  $(X^n + 1)$ 

#### Example

Let q = 7 and n = 4. Let  $\mathbf{a} = (4X^3 + 5X^2 + 2X + 2)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (6X^3 + 4X^2 + 3)$ 

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 24X^{6} + 16X^{5} + 12X^{3} + 30X^{5} + 20X^{4} + 15X^{2} + 12X^{4} + 8X^{3} + 6X + 12X^{3} + 8X^{2} + 6$$
  
= 24X<sup>6</sup> + 46X<sup>5</sup> + 32X<sup>4</sup> + 32X<sup>3</sup> + 23X<sup>2</sup> + 6  
= 3X<sup>6</sup> + 4X<sup>5</sup> + 4X<sup>4</sup> + 4X<sup>3</sup> + 2X<sup>2</sup> + 6  
= -3X<sup>2</sup> - 4X - 4 + 4X<sup>3</sup> + 2X<sup>2</sup> + 6

#### Longer answer

Polynomials with *n* coefficients, each coefficient in  $\{0, ..., q-1\}$ Arithmetic uses reduction modulo *q* and modulo  $(X^n + 1)$ 

#### Example

Let q = 7 and n = 4. Let  $\mathbf{a} = (4X^3 + 5X^2 + 2X + 2)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (6X^3 + 4X^2 + 3)$ 

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 24X^{6} + 16X^{5} + 12X^{3} + 30X^{5} + 20X^{4} + 15X^{2} + 12X^{4} + 8X^{3} + 6X + 12X^{3} + 8X^{2} + 6$$
  
=  $24X^{6} + 46X^{5} + 32X^{4} + 32X^{3} + 23X^{2} + 6$   
=  $3X^{6} + 4X^{5} + 4X^{4} + 4X^{3} + 2X^{2} + 6$   
=  $-3X^{2} - 4X - 4 + 4X^{3} + 2X^{2} + 6$   
=  $-X^{2} - 4X + 4X^{3} + 2$ 

#### Longer answer

Polynomials with *n* coefficients, each coefficient in  $\{0, ..., q-1\}$ Arithmetic uses reduction modulo *q* and modulo  $(X^n + 1)$ 

#### Example

Let q = 7 and n = 4. Let  $\mathbf{a} = (4X^3 + 5X^2 + 2X + 2)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (6X^3 + 4X^2 + 3)$ 

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 24X^{6} + 16X^{5} + 12X^{3} + 30X^{5} + 20X^{4} + 15X^{2} + 12X^{4} + 8X^{3} + 6X + 12X^{3} + 8X^{2} + 6$$
  
= 24X<sup>6</sup> + 46X<sup>5</sup> + 32X<sup>4</sup> + 32X<sup>3</sup> + 23X<sup>2</sup> + 6  
= 3X<sup>6</sup> + 4X<sup>5</sup> + 4X<sup>4</sup> + 4X<sup>3</sup> + 2X<sup>2</sup> + 6  
= -3X<sup>2</sup> - 4X - 4 + 4X<sup>3</sup> + 2X<sup>2</sup> + 6  
= -X<sup>2</sup> - 4X + 4X<sup>3</sup> + 2  
= 4X<sup>3</sup> + 6X<sup>2</sup> + 3X + 2

Alice (server)		Bob (client)
$\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e} \stackrel{s}{\leftarrow} \chi$		$\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{e}' \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.15cm} \$} \chi$
$\mathbf{b} \leftarrow \mathbf{as} + \mathbf{e}$	$\xrightarrow{ \  \  b \  \  }$	$\mathbf{u} \leftarrow \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{e}'$
	$\xleftarrow{u}$	

- Secret and noise polynomials  $\mathbf{s},\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{e},\mathbf{e}'$  are small
- $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{v}'$  are approximately the same



Alice		Bob
seed $\stackrel{\hspace{0.1em}\scriptscriptstyle\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{256}$		
$\mathbf{a} \leftarrow Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$		
$\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e} \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.15cm}} \chi$		$\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{e}' \qquad \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \chi$
$\mathbf{b} \leftarrow \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e}$	$\xrightarrow{(\mathbf{b}, \text{seed})}$	$\mathbf{a} \leftarrow Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$
		$\mathbf{u} \leftarrow \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{e}'$
		$\mathbf{v} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \mathbf{s}'$
$\mathbf{v}' \leftarrow \mathbf{us}$	$\stackrel{(\mathbf{u})}{\longleftarrow}$	

Alice		Bob
seed $\stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{256}$		
$\mathbf{a} \gets Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$		
$\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e} \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.15cm} \$} \chi$		$\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{e}' \qquad \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \chi$
$\mathbf{b} \leftarrow \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e}$	$\xrightarrow{(\mathbf{b}, seed)}$	$\mathbf{a} \leftarrow Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$
		$\mathbf{u} \gets \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{e}'$
		$\mathbf{v} \gets \mathbf{b}\mathbf{s}'$
		$k \stackrel{\hspace{0.1em}\scriptscriptstyle\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n$
		$\mathbf{k} \leftarrow Encode(k)$
$\mathbf{v}' \gets \mathbf{us}$	$\stackrel{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{c})}{\longleftarrow}$	$\mathbf{c} \gets \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{k}$

Alice		Bob
seed $\stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{256}$		
$\mathbf{a} \gets Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$		
$\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e} \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.15cm} \$} \chi$		$\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{e}', \mathbf{e}'' \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.15cm}\$} \chi$
$\mathbf{b} \leftarrow \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e}$	$\xrightarrow{(\mathbf{b}, seed)}$	$\mathbf{a} \gets Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$
		$\mathbf{u} \leftarrow \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{e}'$
		$\mathbf{v} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{e}''$
		$k \stackrel{\hspace{0.1em}\scriptscriptstyle\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n$
		$\mathbf{k} \leftarrow Encode(k)$
$\mathbf{v}' \gets \mathbf{us}$	$\stackrel{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{c})}{\longleftarrow}$	$\mathbf{c} \gets \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{k}$

Alice		Bob
seed $\stackrel{\hspace{0.1em}\scriptscriptstyle\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{256}$		
$\mathbf{a} \gets Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$		
$\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e} \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.15cm}\$} \chi$		$\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{e}', \mathbf{e}'' \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.1in} \$} \chi$
$\mathbf{b} \leftarrow \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e}$	$\xrightarrow{(\mathbf{b}, \text{seed})}$	$\mathbf{a} \leftarrow Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$
		$\mathbf{u} \gets \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{e}'$
		$\mathbf{v} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{e}''$
		$k \stackrel{\hspace{0.1em}\scriptscriptstyle\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n$
		$\mathbf{k} \leftarrow Encode(k)$
$\mathbf{v}' \gets \mathbf{us}$	$\stackrel{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{c})}{\longleftarrow}$	$\mathbf{c} \gets \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{k}$
$k' \gets c - v'$		

Alice		Bob
seed $\stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{256}$		
$\mathbf{a} \gets Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$		
$\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e} \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.15cm} \$} \chi$		$\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{e}', \mathbf{e}'' \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.15cm} \$} \chi$
$\mathbf{b} \leftarrow \mathbf{as} + \mathbf{e}$	$\xrightarrow{(\mathbf{b}, seed)}$	$\mathbf{a} \gets Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$
		$\mathbf{u} \leftarrow \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{e}'$
		$\mathbf{v} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{e}''$
		$k \stackrel{\hspace{0.1em}\scriptscriptstyle\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n$
		$\mathbf{k} \leftarrow Encode(k)$
$\mathbf{v}' \gets \mathbf{us}$	$\stackrel{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{c})}{\longleftarrow}$	$\mathbf{c} \gets \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{k}$
$\mathbf{k}' \gets \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{v}'$		$\mu \gets Extract(\mathbf{k})$
$\mu \leftarrow Extract(\mathbf{k}')$		

Alice		Bob
seed $\stackrel{\hspace{0.1em}\scriptscriptstyle\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{256}$		
$\mathbf{a} \leftarrow Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$		
$\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e} \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.15cm} \$} \chi$		$\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{e}', \mathbf{e}'' \xleftarrow{\hspace{0.15cm} \$} \chi$
$\mathbf{b} \leftarrow \mathbf{as} + \mathbf{e}$	$\xrightarrow{(\mathbf{b}, seed)}$	$\mathbf{a} \gets Parse(XOF(\textit{seed}))$
		$\mathbf{u} \leftarrow \mathbf{a}\mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{e}'$
		$\mathbf{v} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{e}''$
		$k \stackrel{\hspace{0.1em}\scriptscriptstyle\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n$
		$\mathbf{k} \leftarrow Encode(k)$
$\mathbf{v}' \gets \mathbf{us}$	$\stackrel{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{c})}{\longleftarrow}$	$\mathbf{c} \gets \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{k}$
$\mathbf{k}' \leftarrow \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{v}'$		$\mu \leftarrow Extract(\mathbf{k})$
$\mu \leftarrow Extract(\mathbf{k}')$		

This is LPR encryption, written as KEM (except for generation of  $\mathbf{a}$ )

- Encoding in LPR encryption: map *n* bits to *n* coefficients:
  - A zero bit maps to 0
  - A one bit maps to q/2
- · Idea: Noise affects low bits of coefficients, put data into high bits

- Encoding in LPR encryption: map *n* bits to *n* coefficients:
  - A zero bit maps to 0
  - A one bit maps to q/2
- · Idea: Noise affects low bits of coefficients, put data into high bits
- Decode: map coefficient into  $\left[-q/2, q/2\right]$ 
  - Closer to 0 (i.e., in  $\left[-q/4, q/4\right]$ ): set bit to zero
  - Closer to  $\pm q/2$ : set bit to one

#### MLWE instead of RLWE

IND-CCA2 Security

### MLWE instead of RLWE

- Easily scale security
- Optimized routines the same for all security levels

IND-CCA2 Security

### MLWE instead of RLWE

- Easily scale security
- Optimized routines the same for all security levels

## IND-CCA2 Security

- Support static (or cached) keys
- More robust
- Useful for authenticated key exchange
- Easy to construct PKE

- RLWE uses arithmetic on large degree polynomials
- For example, NEWHOPE uses n = 1024, q = 12289

- RLWE uses arithmetic on large degree polynomials
- For example, NEWHOPE uses n = 1024, q = 12289
- MLWE uses matrices and vectors of smaller polynomials of small dimension

- RLWE uses arithmetic on large degree polynomials
- For example, NEWHOPE uses n = 1024, q = 12289
- MLWE uses matrices and vectors of smaller polynomials of small dimension
- Kyber: *n* = 256, *q* = 3329
  - Security level 1 (AES-128): d = 2
  - Security level 3 (AES-192): *d* = 3
  - Security level 5 (AES-256): d = 4
- Core arithmetic is in  $\mathbb{Z}_{3329}[X]/(X^{256}+1)$  for all security levels

- RLWE uses arithmetic on large degree polynomials
- For example, NEWHOPE uses n = 1024, q = 12289
- MLWE uses matrices and vectors of smaller polynomials of small dimension
- Kyber: *n* = 256, *q* = 3329
  - Security level 1 (AES-128): d = 2
  - Security level 3 (AES-192): *d* = 3
  - Security level 5 (AES-256): d = 4
- Core arithmetic is in  $\mathbb{Z}_{3329}[X]/(X^{256}+1)$  for all security levels
- Noise is centered binomial HW(x) HW(y) for 2-bit x and y

# Chosen-ciphertext attacks

- Decryption failures are a function of  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{e}'$
- Attacker can choose larger secret/noise  $\mathbf{e}'$  and  $\mathbf{s}'$
- Observe if decryption fails
- Learn something about  ${\bf s}$

# Chosen-ciphertext attacks

- Decryption failures are a function of  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{e}'$
- Attacker can choose larger secret/noise  $\mathbf{e}'$  and  $\mathbf{s}'$
- Observe if decryption fails
- Learn something about  ${\bf s}$
- This is a chosen ciphertext attack (CCA)
- Learn full  ${\bf s}$  after a few thousand queries

# Chosen-ciphertext attacks

- Decryption failures are a function of  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{e}'$
- Attacker can choose larger secret/noise  $\mathbf{e}'$  and  $\mathbf{s}'$
- Observe if decryption fails
- Learn something about  ${\bf s}$
- This is a chosen ciphertext attack (CCA)
- Learn full  ${\bf s}$  after a few thousand queries
- NEWHOPE never claimed CCA-security!
- This "attack" is completely expected
- Not a problem for ephemeral  ${\bf s}$

### The Fujisaki-Okamoto Transform (idea)

- Build CCA-secure KEM from passively secure encryption scheme
- Make failure probability negligible for honest  $\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{e}', \mathbf{e}''$
- Force encapsulator to generate  $\mathbf{s}^\prime,\,\mathbf{e}^\prime,\,\mathbf{e}^{\prime\prime}$  honestly

## From passive to CCA security

### The Fujisaki-Okamoto Transform

Alice (Server)		Bob (Client)
<u>Gen()</u> : pk, sk ← KeyGen()	$\xrightarrow{\text{pk}}$	$\frac{\text{Encaps(pk)}}{x \leftarrow \{0, \dots, 255\}^{32}}$
Decans((sk.pk).ct);	¢t	$k$ , coins $\leftarrow$ SHA3-512( $x$ ) ct $\leftarrow$ Encrypt(pk, $x$ , coins)
$ \begin{array}{l} \hline ccups((sk, pk), ct).\\ \hline x' \leftarrow Decrypt(sk, ct)\\ k', coins' \leftarrow SHA3-512(x')\\ ct' \leftarrow Encrypt(pk, x', coins')\\ \hline verify if ct = ct' \end{array} $		

# From passive to CCA security

## The Fujisaki-Okamoto Transform

Alice (Server)		Bob (Client)
Gen():		Encaps(pk):
$pk,sk \gets KeyGen()$	$\xrightarrow{\text{pk}}$	$\begin{array}{l} x \leftarrow \{0, \dots, 255\}^{32} \\ k, \text{coins} \leftarrow \text{SHA3-512}(x) \end{array}$
	€t	$ct \leftarrow Encrypt(pk, x, coins)$
Decaps((sk, pk), ct):		
$\overline{x'} \leftarrow \text{Decrypt}(\text{sk}, \text{ct})$		
$k', coins' \leftarrow SHA3-512(x')$		
$ct' \leftarrow Encrypt(pk, x', coins')$		
verify if $ct = ct'$		

#### Additionally in Kyber:

- Hash the (hash of the) public key into x
  - Multi-target protection (for coins)
  - Turn into contributory KEM
- Hash the (hash of the) ciphertext into the final key

#### Key exchange today: ECDH

- Key-pair generation  $\approx 100,000$  Comet Lake cycles
- Shared-key computation  $\approx 100,000$  Comet Lake cycles
- Public keys have 32 bytes





## Kyber for Engineers, part I: A KEM is not DH!



 $K \leftarrow \mathsf{KEM}.\mathsf{Dec}(\mathsf{ct},\mathsf{sk})$ 

## Kyber768 (NIST Security level 3)

- Key-pair generation  $\approx 40,000$  Comet Lake cycles
- Encapsulation  $\approx 55,000$  Comet Lake cycles
- Decapsulation  $\approx 45,000$  Comet Lake cycles

### Kyber768 (NIST Security level 3)

- Key-pair generation  $\approx 40,000$  Comet Lake cycles
- Encapsulation  $\approx 55,000$  Comet Lake cycles
- Decapsulation  $\approx 45,000$  Comet Lake cycles
- Public keys have 1184 bytes
- Ciphertexts have 1088 bytes

## Kyber768 (NIST Security level 3)

- Key-pair generation  $\approx 40,000$  Comet Lake cycles
- Encapsulation  $\approx 55,000$  Comet Lake cycles
- Decapsulation  $\approx 45,000$  Comet Lake cycles
- Public keys have 1184 bytes
- Ciphertexts have 1088 bytes
- Cycles are dominated by Keccak!

# Kyber for Engineers, part III: SCA and FI against FO

- FO-transform: hide if decryption succeeded
- Use full re-encryption to do this

- FO-transform: hide if decryption succeeded
- Use full re-encryption to do this
- Long computation, one bit of information
- Very hard to protect against SCA/FI

- Start playing with Kyber
- Assume that details may still change
- Start playing with Kyber
- · Assume that details may still change
- Always combine with pre-quantum crypto (hybrid KEMs)
- Use Kyber768 (or Kyber1024)

## Online references

• NIST PQC website:

https://csrc.nist.gov/Projects/Post-Quantum-Cryptography

- NIST mailing list: https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/post-quantum-cryptography/ email-list https://groups.google.com/a/list.nist.gov/g/pqc-forum
- Kyber:

https://pq-crystals.org/kyber https://github.com/pq-crystals/kyber