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－National Taiwan University（NTU，台大）was founded in 1928
－Has almost 3000 faculties
－About 18，000 undergrads，and 10，000 grad students
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- Let $G=\langle P\rangle$ be a finite cyclic group with generator $P$
- In the following: $G$ is written additively
- Given $Q \in G$, the discrete-logarithm problem (DLP) is to find $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$
k \cdot P=Q
$$

- For certain groups $G$ this problem is the basis of many asymmetric cryptographic protocols
- Most importantly: $\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ and elliptic-curve groups
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## Pollard's rho algorithm

- Does not use any additional structure (aside from the group structure)
- Best known algorithm to solve the DLP in generic groups of prime order
- Uses a pseudorandom iteration function $f: G \rightarrow G$
- Start with $W_{0}=n_{0} P+m_{0} Q$
- Iteratively apply $f$ to obtain $W_{i+1}=f\left(W_{i}\right)$
- Update $n_{i+1}, m_{i+1}$ from $n_{i}, m_{i}$ (compute modulo $|G|$ )
- $f$ needs to preserve knowledge about the linear combination in $P$ and $Q$
- If $W_{i}=W_{j}$ for $i \neq j$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{i} P+m_{i} Q=n_{j} P+m_{j} Q \Rightarrow \\
& k=\left(n_{j}-n_{i}\right) /\left(m_{i}-m_{j}\right) \bmod |G|
\end{aligned}
$$
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- Easy way to define $f$ :

$$
f(W)=n(W) P+m(W) Q,
$$ with pseudorandom functions $n, m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} /|G| \mathbb{Z}$

- Expected number of iterations until entering a cycle: $\sqrt{\frac{\pi|G|}{2}}$
- Detect cycles without storing all $W_{i}$ : Floyd, Brent
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- Much better: Use parallel approach by van Oorschot and Wiener:
- Client-Server approach, computation done on many clients
- Uses the notion of distinguished points (DPs), easy-to-determine property, such as "last $k$ bits of the element's encoding are 0"
- Clients start from random points and iterate until they reach a DP
- Send starting point and DP to the server, restart from new random point
- Server searches in incoming points for collisions (same DP, different starting point)
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## Some notes on parallel Pollard

- Walks do not enter a cycle, shape is more like a $\lambda$
- Choice of DP-property influences length of separate walks
- Fewer DPs: longer walks (on average), less storage, less communication
- More DPs: Less overhead after a collision
- Clients do not have to update $n_{i}$ and $m_{i}$, simply do successful walks again to find coefficients
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## Additive walks

- Main cost of (parallalized) Pollard's rho algorithm: calls to the iteration function
- With $f(W)=n(W) P+m(W) Q$ : two hash-function calls, one double-scalar multiplication
- Much more efficient: Additive walks
- Precompute $r$ pseudorandom elements $R_{0}, \ldots, R_{r-1}$ with known linear combination in $P$ and $Q$
- Use hash function $h: G \rightarrow\{0, r-1\}$
- Define $f(W)=W+R_{h(W)}$
- Now: only one hash-function call, one group addition
- Additive walks are noticably nonrandom, they require more iterations
- Teske showed that large $r$ provides close-to-random behaviour (e.g. $r=20$ )
- Summary: additive walks provide much better performance in practice
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- So far, everything worked in the generic-group model
- Now consider groups of points on elliptic curves
- Group elements are points $(x, y)$
- Efficient operation aside from group addition: negation
- For Weierstrass curves: $(x, y) \mapsto(x,-y)$
- Some curves have more efficiently computable endomorphisms, examples are Koblitz curves and BN curves
- Idea: Define iterations on equivalence classes modulo negation
- For example: always take the lexicographic minimum of $(x,-y)$ and $(x, y)$
- This halves the size of the search space, expected number of iterations drops by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$
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- Precompute $R_{0}, \ldots, R_{r-1}$
- Clients start at some random $W_{0}$
- Iteratively compute $W_{i+1}=\left|W_{i}+R_{h\left(W_{i}\right)}\right|$
- $|W|$ chooses a well-defined representative in $\{-W, W\}$
- Problem: fruitless cycles If $t=h\left(W_{i}\right)=h\left(W_{i+1}\right)$, and $\left|W_{i}+R_{t}\right|=-\left(W_{i}+R_{t}\right)$ we obtain the following sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{i+1}=f\left(W_{i}\right)=-\left(W_{i}+R_{t}\right) \\
& W_{i+2}=f\left(W_{i+1}\right)=\left|-\left(W_{i}+R_{t}\right)+R_{t}\right|=\left|-W_{i}\right|=W_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Probability for such fruitless cycles: $1 / 2 r$
- Similar observations hold for longer fruitless cycles (length 4,6,...)
- Probability of a cycle of length $2 c$ is $\approx 1 / r^{c}$
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- Avoid frequent cycles by choosing large $r$
- Problem: Lookups become more expensive (cache issues)
- Avoid larger cycles by frequent distinguished points
- Early-abort walks after a certain number of iterations
- Problem: Large communication cost and storage

Cycle detection

- For 2-cycles: Compare $h\left(W_{i}\right)$ and $h\left(W_{i+1}\right)$
- Compare points


## Escape strategies

- Retroactively adjust $h\left(W_{i}\right)$
- Determine unique point in cycle, add "special point" to escape
- Determine unique point in cycle, double this point
- Important: Escape point must be independent from entrance point
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- In July 2009: Break of ECDLP on 112-bit curve over a prime field by Bos, Kaihara, Kleinjung, Lenstra, and Montgomery
- Computation carried out on a cluster of 214 Sony PlayStation 3 gaming consoles
- Iteration function did not use the negation map:
"We did not use the common negation map since it requires branching and results in code that runs slower in a SIMD environment"
- Paper at ANTS 2010 by Bos, Kleinjung, and Lenstra: Among many ways of dealing with fruitless cycles best speedup is 1.29 , but
"If the Pollard rho method is parallelized in SIMD fashion, it is a challenge to achieve any speedup at all. ... Dealing with cycles entails administrative overhead and branching, which cause a non-negligible slowdown when running multiple walks in SIMD-parallel fashion. ... [This] is a major obstacle to the negation map in SIMD environments."
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## What's the problem with SIMD?

- SIMD stands for single instruction stream, multiple data streams
- Same sequence of instructions carried out on different data
- Most commonly implemented through vector registers
- Branching means (in the worst case): Sequentially execute both branches
- Computing power of the Cell processor in the PlayStation 3 is in the Synergistic Processor Elements (SPEs)
- Instruction set of the SPEs is purely SIMD
- SIMD becomes more and more important on all modern microprocessors
- Question: Can we really not get the factor- $\sqrt{2}$ speedup with SIMD?
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## Our approach

- Solve ECDLP on elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$
- Begin with simplest type of negating additive walk
- Starting points $W_{0}$ are known multiples of $Q$
- Precomputed table contains $r$ known multiples of $P$
- Use (relatively) large $r$ (in our implementation: 2048)
- $|(x, y)|$ is $(x, y)$ if $y \in\{0,2,4, \ldots, p-1\},(x,-y)$ otherwise
- Occasionally check for 2-cycles:
- If $W_{i-1}=W_{i-3}$, set $W_{i}=\left|2 \min \left\{W_{i-1}, W_{i-2}\right\}\right|$
- Otherwise set $W_{i}=W_{i-1}$
- With even lower frequency check for 4 -cycles, 6 -cycles etc.
- Implementation actually checks for 12 -cycles (with very low frequency)
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- Compute $|(x, y)|$ as $(x, y+\epsilon(p-2 y))$, with $\epsilon=y \bmod 2$
- Amortize min computations across relevant iterations, update min while computing iterations
- Always compute doublings, even if they are not used
- Select $W_{i}$ from $W_{i-1}$ and $2 W_{\text {min }}$ without branch
- Selection bit is output of branchfree comparison between $W_{i-1}$ and $W_{i-1-c}$ when detecting $c$-cycles
- All selections, subtractions, additions and comparisons are linear-time
- Asymptotalically negligible compared to finite-field multiplications in EC arithmetic
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- Overall loss: $1+w^{2} / 4 r$ per $w$ iterations
- Minimize $1 / w+w / 4 r$ : Take $w \approx 2 \sqrt{r}$
- Slowdown from fruitless cycles by a factor of $1+\Theta(1 / \sqrt{r})$
- Negligible if $r \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$
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- (very-close-to) factor- $\sqrt{2}$ speedup through negation map
- Faster iterations
- Faster arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z} /\left(2^{128}-3\right) \mathbb{Z}$ (prime field has order $\left.\left(2^{128}-3\right) / 76439\right)$
- Non-standard radix $2^{12.8}$ to represent elements of $\left(2^{128}-3\right) / 76439$
- Careful design of iteration function, arithmetic and handling of fruitless cycles
- Negligible overhead (in practice!) from fruitless cycles
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- We have a faster implementation to solve the DLP
- But we don't have a cluster of $>200$ PlayStations
- How can we demonstrate that the implementation indeed works?
- Implementation solves ECDLPs on elliptic curves $E: y^{2}=x^{3}-3 x+b$
- Repeatedly solve DLP on curves with smaller subgroups (choose different $b$ ), specifically:
- 32237 experiments in a subgroup of order $\approx 2^{50}$
- 257241 experiments in a subgroup of order $\approx 2^{55}$
- 33791 experiments in a subgroup of order $\approx 2^{60}$
- Rate of DPs per hour matches expectations
- Median number of DPs required to solve DLP matches expectations
- Confident performance extrapolation to 112-bit DLP
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## Left-out details

- Paper has way more details on the implementation
- Hand-optimized assembly implementation (not online yet)
- Various tricks in the design of the iteration function
- Entertaining history on "How not to use negation in Pollard's rho method"
- Paper is online, e.g. at http://cryptojedi.org/papers/\#negation

