

# Practice-exam Hacking in C 2019, time: 2h

(100 points total)

## 1. (20 points)

- (a) What should the declarations of `r`, `s`, `t`, `w`, and `v` be in the code below to give them the right type?

```
int a;
int *p = &a;
char c;
.... r = &c;
.... s = &r;
.... t = **s;
.... w = &p;
.... v = *p;
```

- (b) What are the values of `x[0]`, `x[1]`, `x[2]`, and `y` after executing the code below?

```
int x[3];
x[0] = 0;
x[1] = 8;
x[2] = 16;
int y = 3;
int *p = x;
int *q = &y;
int **pp = &p;
int **qq = &q;
(*pp)++;
(*p)++;
*q = *p+1;
```

## 2. (20 points) Consider the following code fragment:

```
int f()
{
    char a[22];
    int32_t b[3];
    uint64_t c;
    int32_t i;
    ...
}
```

- (a) The local variables of the function `f()` take a total of 46 bytes. What would be a good reason for a compiler to allocate more space than for the local variables on the stack on a modern 64-bit machine?
- (b) The compiler can also reorder local variables on the stack. In what order would you expect the variables to be stored? Explain your answer.
- (c) Write some code that prints "expected order" if the variables are indeed in the order that you described in part b).

- (d) Write a program that prints "big endian" when it is compiled for and running on a big-endian architecture and "little endian" when it is compiled for and running on a little-endian architecture.

**Note:** This program should not be longer than 8 lines of code.

### 3. (20 points)

Consider the following code

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdint.h>

int main() {
    int64_t x[3];
    x[0] = 42;
    x[1] = 1 << 5;
    x[2] = 4 ^ 7; // ^ is bitwise XOR

    printf("%lx \n", x);
    printf("%lx \n", &x); // (b)
    printf("%lx \n", x+2); // (a)
    printf("%lx \n", &x+2); // (c)
    printf("%lx \n", *(x+2) ^ 3); // (d)
    printf("%lx \n", *x + x[2]); // (e)
    return 0;
}
```

Recall that `%lx` prints a long in hexadecimal notation. If the first call to `printf` prints `7fffffffabc00`, what do the other calls to `printf` print?

### 4. (20 points)

```
1. int *terrible(){
2.     char *s1 = malloc(20);
3.     char *s2 = malloc(30);
4.     int p[42];
5.     const char *z = "hello world!";
6.     s1 = s2;
7.     free(s1);
8.     free(s2);
9.     free(z);
10.    return p;
11. }
```

Explain the 5 different errors in this code. One error occurs actually twice, so there are a total of 6 errors.

5. (20 points) Consider the following code vulnerable to buffer overflows:

```
int bad(const char *s, size_t len)
{
    buf a[100];
    ...
    memcpy(a, s, len);
    ...
}
```

Assume the role of an attacker who wants to inject and run shell code. Assume that the attacker controls inputs to the function `bad`. Assume that the address of `buf` is `0x7ffffed6b7dd0`. Assume that the return address of `bad` is stored at address `0x7ffffed6b7e3c`.

- (a) What `len` argument would you use in the attack?
- (b) Assume that you have 30 bytes of shell code. What other “ingredients” go into the attack string that the attacker will provide as first argument?
- (c) Describe in detail what the first argument `s` will look like for the attack to work.
- (d) Would the attack still work if `memcpy(a, s, len)` was replaced by `strcpy(a, s)`? Would the attack string need to change? Explain why or why not.
- (e) Would a non-executable stack prevent the attack? Explain why or why not.
- (f) Would stack canaries prevent the attack? Explain why or why not.